

# **EXHIBIT C**

**Cooper, Monte**

---

**From:** Cooper, Monte  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2006 12:22 PM  
**To:** 'John.Hornick@finnegan.com'; 'Bhanu.Sadasivan@hellerehrman.com'  
**Cc:** Walker, Joshua; 'dan.hampton@hklaw.com'; 'robert.hawk@hellerehrman.com';  
'troy.grabow@finnegan.com'; 'margaret.esquenet@finnegan.com'; 'pat.hart@finnegan.com';  
Nagel, Robert; Guy, Hopkins; 'joczek@proskauer.com'; Chatterjee, I. Neel;  
'sbauer@proskauer.com'  
**Subject:** Re: Discovery Conference Followup

John:

In order to answer your question, I requested relevant information. If you refuse to provide it, we must assume you concede you have no reasons for the late amendment. Accordingly, since the amendment violates the original Scheduling Order, Defendants cannot agree to it, given the admitted absence of excuse. Moreover, in light of the Motion to Dismiss, I believe your amendment requires its own formal motion.

-----  
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: Hornick, John <John.Hornick@finnegan.com>  
To: Cooper, Monte <MCOOPER@ORRICK.COM>; Bhanu.Sadasivan@hellerehrman.com  
<Bhanu.Sadasivan@hellerehrman.com>  
CC: Walker, Joshua <jwalker@orrick.com>; dan.hampton@hklaw.com <dan.hampton@hklaw.com>;  
robert.hawk@hellerehrman.com <robert.hawk@hellerehrman.com>; Grabow, Troy  
<troy.grabow@finnegan.com>; Esquenet, Margaret <margaret.esquenet@finnegan.com>; Hart, Pat  
<pat.hart@finnegan.com>; Nagel, Robert <rnagel@orrick.com>; Guy, Hopkins  
<HOPGUY@Orrick.com>; Joczek <joczek@proskauer.com>; Chatterjee, I. Neel  
<NCHATTERJEE@Orrick.com>; Sbauer <sbauer@proskauer.com>  
Sent: Tue Feb 28 12:08:09 2006  
Subject: RE: Discovery Conference Followup

Monte:

We asked a simple question: Do Facebook Defendants consent to the proposed amendments. Please answer.

Sincerely,

John

-----Original Message-----

From: Cooper, Monte [mailto:MCOOPER@ORRICK.COM]  
Sent: Sunday, February 26, 2006 12:16 PM  
To: Hornick, John; Bhanu.Sadasivan@hellerehrman.com  
Cc: Walker, Joshua; dan.hampton@hklaw.com; robert.hawk@hellerehrman.com; Grabow, Troy;  
Esquenet, Margaret; Hart, Pat; Nagel, Robert; Guy, Hopkins; Joczek; Chatterjee, I. Neel;  
Sbauer  
Subject: RE: Discovery Conference Followup

John:

I am out of the office at the moment. Attached is a pdf of my unsigned letter response to the issues you raise, except for your motion to amend the Complaint. My secretary will send you the signed copy of the letter tomorrow (she will be signing for me).

With respect to the motion to amend, we would ask that consistent with formal motion practice, you set out the reasons for such an amendment, including why no such motion

previously was made by Plaintiff. Likewise, we need to know why you expect the motion can be reconciled with the deadlines that previously existed for amendments, as well as the pending motion to dismiss.

Finally, you indicate that you intend to raise the proposed motion to amend at the hearing on Friday. I note that is wholly improper not merely insofar as the motion is not even ripe, but also because there has been no Order of Reference permitting the "amendment" even to be considered.

Monte

-----Original Message-----

From: Hornick, John [mailto:John.Hornick@finnegan.com]

Sent: Friday, February 24, 2006 3:34 PM

To: Cooper, Monte; Bhanu.Sadasivan@hellerehrman.com

Cc: Walker, Joshua; dan.hampton@hklaw.com; robert.hawk@hellerehrman.com; Grabow, Troy; Esquenet, Margaret; Hart, Pat; Nagel, Robert; Guy, Hopkins; Joczek; Chatterjee, I. Neel

Subject: RE: Discovery Conference Followup

Dear Monte and Bhanu:

Further to Monte's 2/22/06 9:53am email, please confirm that 9:30am is acceptable as the start time for the deposition on March 1, 2006, and that the deposition will take place at our Palo Alto offices. For your information, our Palo Alto office recently moved to 3300 Hillview Avenue, Palo Alto, CA 94304. The phone number there is 650-849-6600.

As a followup to our discovery conference yesterday:

1. When are the individual Facebook Defendants available for deposition?
2. When will you produce the directory of source code files promised in Neel's 2/21/06 letter?
3. When will you produce the privilege log promised in Neel's 2/21/06 letter?
4. When will you identify the location of the 6 facebook code files allegedly contained on TFB000085 or TFB000086, which Hopkins referred to during my 2/14/06 teleconference with him?
5. Where precisely in your production can we find the facebook.com database structures from (a) prelaunch, (b) launch, and (c) post-launch through December 2004?
6. Please confirm that all of the PERL code promised by Hopkins during our February 14, 2006 teleconference has now been produced.
7. Will you tell us what "non-evasive" means, as used in Facebook Defendants' responses to Interrogatory Nos. 25-26, and amend your responses accordingly??

On another note, we wish to amend paragraph 48 of the First Amended Complaint to read as follows:

"48. The actions of Defendants Zuckerberg, Moskovitz, McCollum, and Hughes described above constitute unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of trade or commerce within the meaning of M.G.L. ch. 93A, §§ 2, 9, and/or 11."

We also wish to amend the heading of the Second Claim for Relief to read as follows:

"SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF  
Misappropriation of Trade Secrets  
Massachusetts G.L. ch. 266, § 30(4) and ch. 93, § 42"

We also wish to amend Prayer for Relief G to read as follows:

"G. Other relief as the Court may deem appropriate, including increased damages available under applicable law."

We also wish to amend paragraph 5 to read as follows:

"5. Defendant Mark Zuckerberg is an individual and citizen of California."

Do Facebook Defendants (and Saverin) consent to these amendments? If not, we plan to raise them with the Court at the March 3, 2006 hearing.

Sincerely,

John

John F. Hornick  
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,  
Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P.  
901 New York Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
Phone (direct): (202) 408-4076  
Phone (main): (202) 408-4000  
Fax: (202) 408-4400  
E-Mail: john.hornick@finnegan.com  
Firm Web site: www.finnegan.com

NOTICE: This e-mail is from a law firm and may contain information that is confidential, protected, or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the e-mail and any attachments and notify us immediately. Thank you.

This e-mail message is intended only for individual(s) to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, proprietary, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you believe you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by return e-mail and delete it from your mailbox. Thank you.

From Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP <orrick.com> on 02/26/2006 09:11:49 AM IRS Circular 230 disclosure:

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication, unless expressly stated otherwise, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter(s) addressed herein.

=====

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: THIS E-MAIL IS MEANT FOR ONLY THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OF THE TRANSMISSION, AND MAY BE A COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED BY LAW. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL IN ERROR, ANY REVIEW, USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS E-MAIL IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF THE ERROR BY RETURN E-MAIL AND PLEASE DELETE THIS MESSAGE FROM YOUR SYSTEM. THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR COOPERATION. For more information about Orrick, please visit <http://www.orrick.com/>

This e-mail message is intended only for individual(s) to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, proprietary, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you believe you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by return e-mail and delete it from your mailbox. Thank you.